

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradesmark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/540,400	03/31/2000	Michael Aleandrovich	ACH2696	2198
759	90 04/25/2003			
Louis A Morris			EXAMINER	
Akzo Nobel Inc Intellectual Property Department			NORTON, NADINE GEORGIANNA	
7 Livingstone Avenue Dobbs Ferry, NY 10522-3408			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	
			DATE MAILED: 04/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 0				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/540,400	ALEANDROVICH ET AL.				
·	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nadine Norton	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the supplication and the supplication are supplied that the supplication are supplied to the supplied that the supplied in the supplied that the supplied in the sup	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THeate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.	If the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ision and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a)						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	·					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:		· •				
	NADINE G. KORT	ON				

Application N .



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 is a new issue because none of claims depending from claim 1 contain applicants' specific metals added in the amendment.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it is directed at an untimely declaration which is not considered at the present time (See MPEP 716.01). In addition, applicants' remaining arguments directed at the applied art and combination of references are not persuasive because of the examiner's reasons set forth in the response to arguments of the final rejection.